

Dear Chairman Powell and Commissioners,  
PLEASE ENSURE THAT REAL POLITICAL ISSUES (ESCALATING HEALTH CARE COSTS AND THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE CANDIDATES' HEALTH CARE PROPOSALS; THE LOW ESTEEM IN WHICH THE U.S. IS WIDELY VIEWED ABROAD; THE GROWING DANGERS AND COSTS OF THE IRAQ DEBACLE AND THE VULNERABILITY OF THIS COUNTRY TO TERRORIST ATTACKS, ETC.--I COULD GO ON AND ON) ARE VIGOROUSLY AIRED AND DEBATED ON THE PUBLIC AIRWAYS. YOU HAVE A MORAL--AND LEGAL--OBLIGATION TO DO SO.

As a citizen who wants to be informed about the political and electoral issues that affect my life, I am greatly disappointed by the lack of informative television programming.

Local public affairs programming is virtually non-existent--constituting less than one half of 1% of all local programming. More than half of news broadcasts prior to the 2002 elections did not include a single campaign story. Our democracy is at risk when many Americans don't know basic facts about the candidates or the issues, as was the case in the 2000 elections.

Since broadcasters have clearly failed in their obligation to serve the public interest, the FCC should define meaningful public interest requirements that include local civic and electoral affairs programming. At a minimum, broadcasters should air three hours per week of local civic and electoral affairs programming, with at least two hours devoted to substantive election coverage in the six weeks prior to Election Day.

As the elections approach, a well-functioning democracy demands that citizens have access to a diversity of opinions and voices on the choices confronting us.

Sincerely, Roberta Gutman

Roberta Gutman  
1220 North Carolina Av. NE  
Washington, DC 200026232